


# Big Data for Data Science

## Scalable Machine Learning



credits:  
Matei Zaharia & Xiangrui Meng

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)

# A SHORT INTRODUCTION TO NEURAL NETWORKS

credits:

cs231n.stanford.edu; Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)




# Example: Image Recognition

**Input Image**

**Weights**


**Loss**

**AlexNet**  
‘convolutional’ neural network



# Neural Nets - Basics


- **Score** function (linear, matrix)
- **Activation** function (normalize [0-1])
- **Regularization** function (penalize complex  $W$ )



# Neural Nets are Computational Graphs

- **Score**, **Activation** and **Regularization** together with a **Loss** function

example: 
$$f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2)}}$$




- For backpropagation, we need a formula for the “gradient”, i.e. the derivative of each computational function:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 f(x) = e^x & \rightarrow & \frac{df}{dx} = e^x \\
 f_a(x) = ax & \rightarrow & \frac{df}{dx} = a
 \end{array}
 \quad \Bigg| \quad
 \begin{array}{lcl}
 f(x) = \frac{1}{x} & \rightarrow & \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2 \\
 f_c(x) = c + x & \rightarrow & \frac{df}{dx} = 1
 \end{array}$$

# Training the model: backpropagation

- backpropagate loss to the weights to be adjusted, proportional to a learning rate

example: 
$$f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2)}}$$



- For backpropagation, we need a formula for the “gradient”, i.e. the derivative of each computational function:

$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

credits:


cs231n.stanford.edu; Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, Song Han)

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)

# Training the model: backpropagation

- backpropagate loss to the weights to be adjusted, proportional to a learning

example: 
$$f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2)}}$$



- For backpropagation, we need a formula for the “gradient”, i.e. the derivative of each computational function:


$f(x) = e^x$	→	$\frac{df}{dx} = e^x$		$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$	→	$\frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$
$f_a(x) = ax$	→	$\frac{df}{dx} = a$		$f_c(x) = c + x$	→	$\frac{df}{dx} = 1$



# Training the model: backpropagation

- backpropagate loss to the weights to be adjusted, proportional to a learning

example: 
$$f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2)}}$$



- For backpropagation, we need a formula for the “gradient”, i.e. the derivative of each computational function:

$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$


$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

# Training the model: backpropagation

- backpropagate loss to the weights to be adjusted, proportional to a learning

example: 
$$f(w, x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2)}}$$



- For backpropagation, we need a formula for the “gradient”, i.e. the derivative of each computational function:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 f(x) = e^x & \rightarrow & \frac{df}{dx} = e^x \\
 f_a(x) = ax & \rightarrow & \frac{df}{dx} = a
 \end{array}
 \quad \Bigg| \quad
 \begin{array}{lcl}
 f(x) = \frac{1}{x} & \rightarrow & \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2 \\
 f_c(x) = c + x & \rightarrow & \frac{df}{dx} = 1
 \end{array}$$

credits:


cs231n.stanford.edu; Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)

# Activation Functions


## Sigmoid

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$



## tanh


$$\tanh(x)$$



## ReLU


$$\max(0, x)$$

Good default choice



## Leaky ReLU

$$\max(0.1x, x)$$




## Maxout

$$\max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2)$$

## ELU


$$\begin{cases} x & x \geq 0 \\ \alpha(e^x - 1) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$




# Get going quickly: Transfer Learning

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014  
 Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014


## 1. Train on Imagenet



## 2. Small Dataset (C classes)



## 3. Bigger dataset





credits:

cs231n.stanford.edu; Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)

# Neural Network Architecture



- (mini) batch-wise training
- matrix calculations galore



# DEEP LEARNING SOFTWARE

credits:

cs231n.stanford.edu; Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)

# Deep Learning Frameworks

Caffe

(UC Berkeley)

→ Caffe2

(Facebook)

Paddle

(Baidu)

Torch

(NYU/Facebook)

→ PyTorch

(Facebook)

CNTK

(Microsoft)

Theano

(Univ. Montreal)

→ TensorFlow

(Google)

MXNET

(Amazon)

- Easily build big computational graphs
- Easily compute gradients in these graphs
- Run it at high speed (e.g. GPU)

credits:

cs231n.stanford.edu; Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)

# Deep Learning Frameworks

## Numpy

```
import numpy as np
np.random.seed(0)

N, D = 3, 4

x = np.random.randn(N, D)
y = np.random.randn(N, D)
z = np.random.randn(N, D)

a = x * y
b = a + z
c = np.sum(b)

grad_c = 1.0
grad_b = grad_c * np.ones((N, D))
grad_a = grad_b.copy()
grad_z = grad_b.copy()
grad_x = grad_a * y
grad_y = grad_a * x
```

..have to compute gradients by hand..

No GPU support

## TensorFlow

```
import numpy as np
np.random.seed(0)
import tensorflow as tf

N, D = 3, 4

with tf.device('/gpu:0'):
    x = tf.placeholder(tf.float32)
    y = tf.placeholder(tf.float32)
    z = tf.placeholder(tf.float32)

    a = x * y
    b = a + z
    c = tf.reduce_sum(b)

grad_x, grad_y, grad_z = tf.gradients(c, [x, y, z])

with tf.Session() as sess:
    values = {
        x: np.random.randn(N, D),
        y: np.random.randn(N, D),
        z: np.random.randn(N, D),
    }
    out = sess.run([c, grad_x, grad_y, grad_z],
                    feed_dict=values)
    c_val, grad_x_val, grad_y_val, grad_z_val = out
```

..gradient computations are generated automatically from the forward phase ( $z=x*y$ ;  $b=a+x$ ;  $c=\text{sum}(b)$ ) + GPU support

## PyTorch

```
import torch
from torch.autograd import Variable

N, D = 3, 4

x = Variable(torch.randn(N, D).cuda(),
              requires_grad=True)
y = Variable(torch.randn(N, D).cuda(),
              requires_grad=True)
z = Variable(torch.randn(N, D).cuda(),
              requires_grad=True)

a = x * y
b = a + z
c = torch.sum(b)

c.backward()


print(x.grad.data)
print(y.grad.data)
print(z.grad.data)
```

..similar to TensorFlow

Not a “new language” but embedded in Python (control flow).

# TensorFlow: TensorBoard GUI

Add logging to code to record loss, stats, etc  
Run server and get pretty graphs!



# Higher Levels of Abstraction

## Keras: High-Level Wrapper

Keras is a layer on top of TensorFlow, makes common things easy to do

(Also supports Theano backend)

```
from keras.models import Sequential
from keras.layers.core import Dense, Activation
from keras.optimizers import SGD
```

```
N, D, H = 64, 1000, 100
```

```
model = Sequential()
model.add(Dense(input_dim=D, output_dim=H))
model.add(Activation('relu'))
model.add(Dense(input_dim=H, output_dim=D))
```

```
optimizer = SGD(lr=1e0)
model.compile(loss='mean_squared_error',
              optimizer=optimizer)
```

```
x = np.random.randn(N, D)
y = np.random.randn(N, D)
history = model.fit(x, y, nb_epoch=50,
                    batch_size=N, verbose=0)
```

```
batch_size=N, verbose=0)
```

formulas "by name"

stochastic  
gradient  
descent



# Static vs Dynamic Graphs

**TensorFlow:** Build graph once, then run many times (**static**)

```
N, D, H = 64, 1000, 100
x = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, shape=(N, D))
y = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, shape=(N, D))
w1 = tf.Variable(tf.random_normal((D, H)))
w2 = tf.Variable(tf.random_normal((H, D)))

h = tf.matmul(x, w1)
y_pred = tf.matmul(h, w2)
diff = y_pred - y
loss = tf.reduce_mean(tf.reduce_sum(diff ** 2, axis=1))
grad_w1, grad_w2 = tf.gradients(loss, [w1, w2])

learning_rate = 1e-5
new_w1 = w1.assign(w1 - learning_rate * grad_w1)
new_w2 = w2.assign(w2 - learning_rate * grad_w2)
updates = tf.group(new_w1, new_w2)

with tf.Session() as sess:
    sess.run(tf.global_variables_initializer())
    values = {x: np.random.randn(N, D),
              y: np.random.randn(N, D),}
    losses = []
    for t in range(50):
        loss_val, _ = sess.run([loss, updates],
                               feed_dict=values)
```

Build graph

Run each iteration

**PyTorch:** Each forward pass defines a new graph (**dynamic**)

```
import torch
from torch.autograd import Variable

N, D_in, H, D_out = 64, 1000, 100, 10
x = Variable(torch.randn(N, D_in), requires_grad=False)
y = Variable(torch.randn(N, D_out), requires_grad=False)
w1 = Variable(torch.randn(D_in, H), requires_grad=True)
w2 = Variable(torch.randn(H, D_out), requires_grad=True)

learning_rate = 1e-6
for t in range(500):
    y_pred = x.mm(w1).clamp(min=0).mm(w2)
    loss = (y_pred - y).pow(2).sum()

    if w1.grad: w1.grad.data.zero_()
    if w2.grad: w2.grad.data.zero_()
    loss.backward()


    w1.data -= learning_rate * w1.grad.data
    w2.data -= learning_rate * w2.grad.data
```

New graph each iteration


# Static vs Dynamic: optimization

With static graphs, framework can **optimize** the graph for you before it runs!

The graph you wrote



Equivalent graph with **fused operations**



# Static vs Dynamic: serialization

serialization = create a runnable program from the trained network

## Static

Once graph is built, can **serialize** it and run it without the code that built the graph!

## Dynamic

Graph building and execution are intertwined, so always need to keep code around

# Static vs Dynamic: conditionals, loops

$$y = \begin{cases} w1 * x & \text{if } z > 0 \\ w2 * x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## PyTorch: Normal Python

```
N, D, H = 3, 4, 5

x = Variable(torch.randn(N, D))
w1 = Variable(torch.randn(D, H))
w2 = Variable(torch.randn(D, H))

z = 10
if z > 0:
    y = x.mm(w1)
else:
    y = x.mm(w2)
```

## TensorFlow: Special TF control flow operator!

```
N, D, H = 3, 4, 5
x = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, shape=(N, D))
z = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, shape=None)
w1 = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, shape=(D, H))
w2 = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, shape=(D, H))

def f1(): return tf.matmul(x, w1)
def f2(): return tf.matmul(x, w2)
y = tf.cond(tf.less(z, 0), f1, f2)

with tf.Session() as sess:
    values = {
        x: np.random.randn(N, D),
        z: 10,
        w1: np.random.randn(D, H),
        w2: np.random.randn(D, H),
    }
    y_val = sess.run(y, feed_dict=values)
```



# What to Use?

- **TensorFlow** is a safe bet for most projects. Not perfect but has huge community, wide usage. Maybe pair with high-level wrapper (Keras, Sonnet, etc)
- **PyTorch** is best for research. However still new, there can be rough patches.
- Use **TensorFlow** for one graph over many machines  
Consider **Caffe**, **Caffe2**, or **TensorFlow** for production deployment
- Consider **TensorFlow** or **Caffe2** for mobile



Fei-Fei Li



Justin Johnson



Serena Yeung




# DEEP LEARNING PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZATIONS

credits:  
cs231n.stanford.edu, Song Han

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)


# ML models are getting larger

## IMAGE RECOGNITION



Microsoft


## SPEECH RECOGNITION



Baidu

# First Challenge: Model Size


Hard to distribute large models through over-the-air update




# Second Challenge: Energy Efficiency



AlphaGo: 1920 CPUs and 280 GPUs,  
**\$3000 electric bill** per game



on mobile: **drains battery**  
on data-center: **increases TCO**




# Third Challenge: Training Speed

	Error rate	Training time
ResNet18:	10.76%	2.5 days
ResNet50:	7.02%	5 days
ResNet101:	6.21%	1 week
ResNet152:	6.16%	<b>1.5 weeks</b>

Such long training time limits ML researcher's productivity

Training time benchmarked with fb.resnet.torch using four M40 GPUs

# Hardware Basics



\* including GPGPU

# Special hardware? It's in your pocket..


- iPhone 8 with A11 chip




6 CPU cores:  
2 powerful  
4 energy-efficient



Apple GPU



Apple TPU  
(deep learning ASIC)









only on-chip FPGA missing (will come in time..)




# Hardware Basics: Number Representation

$$(-1)^S \times (1.M) \times 2^E$$

		Range	Accuracy
FP32		$10^{-38} - 10^{38}$	.000006%
FP16		$6 \times 10^{-5} - 6 \times 10^4$	.05%
Int32		$0 - 2 \times 10^9$	$\frac{1}{2}$
Int16		$0 - 6 \times 10^4$	$\frac{1}{2}$
Int8		$0 - 127$	$\frac{1}{2}$
Fixed point		-	-

Dally, High Performance Hardware for Machine Learning, NIPS'2015

# Hardware Basics: Number Representation




Energy numbers are from Mark Horowitz "Computing's Energy Problem (and what we can do about it)", ISSCC 2014

Area numbers are from synthesized result using Design Compiler under TSMC 45nm tech node. FP units used DesignWare Library.

# Hardware Basics: Memory = Energy


larger model → more memory references → more energy consumed

Operation	Energy [pJ]
32 bit int ADD	0.1
32 bit float ADD	0.9
32 bit Register File	1
32 bit int MULT	3.1
32 bit float MULT	3.7
32 bit SRAM Cache	5
<b>32 bit DRAM Memory</b>	<b>640</b>



1  = 1000  



# Pruning Neural Networks



[Lecun et al. NIPS'89]

[Han et al. NIPS'15]


# Pruning Neural Networks




- Learning both Weights and Connections for Efficient Neural Networks, Han, Pool, Tran Dally, NIPS2015

# Pruning Changes the Weight Distribution


## Before Pruning



## After Pruning




## After Retraining



Conv5 layer of Alexnet. Representative for other network layers as well.

# Pruning Happens in the Human Brain

1000 Trillion  
Synapses



500 Trillion  
Synapses



[This image](#) is in the public domain

1 year old



[This image](#) is in the public domain

Adolescent




# Trained Quantization

~~2.09, 2.12, 1.92, 1.87~~



2.0




32 bit

4bit


8x less memory footprint

# Trained Quantization




# Trained Quantization: Before

- Continuous weight distribution





# Trained Quantization: After

- Discrete weight distribution




# Trained Quantization: How Many Bits?




- Deep Compression: compressing deep neural networks with pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding, Han, Moa, Dally, ICLR2016

# Quantization to Fixed Point Decimals (=Ints)



- Train with float
- Quantizing the weight and activation:
  - Gather the statistics for weight and activation
  - Choose proper radix point position
- Fine-tune in float format
- Convert to fixed-point format

# Hardware Basics: Number Representation




Energy numbers are from Mark Horowitz "Computing's Energy Problem (and what we can do about it)", ISSCC 2014

Area numbers are from synthesized result using Design Compiler under TSMC 45nm tech node. FP units used DesignWare Library.




# Mixed Precision Training



<https://devblogs.nvidia.com/parallelforall/cuda-9-features-revealed/>

# Mixed Precision Training




Boris Ginsburg, Sergei Nikolaev, Paulius Micikevicius, "Training with mixed precision", NVIDIA GTC 2017

# DEEP LEARNING HARDWARE

credits:  
cs231n.stanford.edu, Song Han

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)


# The end of CPU scaling



Original data collected and plotted by M. Horowitz, F. Labonte, O. Shacham, K. Olukotun, L. Hammond and C. Batten  
Dotted line extrapolations by C. Moore

# CPU's for Training - SIMD to the rescue?

## Intel Knights Landing (2016)



- 7 TFLOPS FP32
- 16GB MCDRAM– 400 GB/s
- 245W TDP
- 29 GFLOPS/W (FP32)
- 14nm process

## Knights Mill: next gen Xeon Phi “optimized for deep learning”


Intel announced the addition of new vector instructions for deep learning (AVX512-4VNNIW and AVX512-4FMAPS), October 2016

Slide Source: Sze et al Survey of DNN Hardware, MICRO'16 Tutorial.



Image Source: Intel, Data Source: Next Platform

# CPUs for Training - SIMD to the rescue?

4 scalar instructions




1 SIMD instruction




“ALU”: arithmetic logic unit (implements +, \*, - etc. instructions)

# CPU vs GPU

CPU: A lot of chip surface for cache memory and control



CPU



GPU

GPU: almost all chip surface for ALUs (compute power)

	# Cores	Clock Speed	Memory	Price
<b>CPU</b> (Intel Core i7-7700k)	4 (8 threads with hyperthreading)	4.4 GHz	Shared with system	\$339
<b>CPU</b> (Intel Core i7-6950X)	10 (20 threads with hyperthreading)	3.5 GHz	Shared with system	\$1723
<b>GPU</b> (NVIDIA Titan Xp)	3840	1.6 GHz	12 GB GDDR5X	\$1200
<b>GPU</b> (NVIDIA GTX 1070)	1920	1.68 GHz	8 GB GDDR5	\$399

**CPU:** Fewer cores, but each core is much faster and much more capable; great at sequential tasks

**GPU:** More cores, but each core is much slower and “dumber”; great for parallel tasks

**GPU cards have their own memory chips: smaller but nearby and faster than system memory**

credits:

cs231n.stanford.edu; Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)




# Programming GPUs

- CUDA (NVIDIA only)
  - Write C-like code that runs directly on the GPU
  - Higher-level APIs: cuBLAS, cuFFT, cuDNN, etc
- OpenCL
  - Similar to CUDA, but runs on anything
  - Usually slower :(

All major deep learning libraries (TensorFlow, PyTorch, MXNET, etc) support training and model evaluation on GPUs.

# CPU vs GPU: performance


(CPU performance not well-optimized, a little unfair)



Data from <https://github.com/fcjohnson/cnn-benchmarks>

# CPU - GPU: communication

Model  
is here



Data is here

If you aren't careful, training can bottleneck on reading data and transferring to GPU!

### Solutions:

- Read all data into RAM
- Use SSD instead of HDD
- Use multiple CPU threads to prefetch data

# GPUs for Training

## Nvidia PASCAL GP100 (2016)




- 10/20 TFLOPS FP32/FP16
- 16GB HBM – 750 GB/s
- 300W TDP
- 67 GFLOPS/W (FP16)
- 16nm process
- 160GB/s NV Link

Slide Source: Sze et al Survey of DNN Hardware, MICRO'16 Tutorial.  
Data Source: NVIDIA

# GPUs for Training

## Nvidia Volta GV100 (2017)



- 15 FP32 TFLOPS
- 120 Tensor TFLOPS
- 16GB HBM2 @ 900GB/s
- 300W TDP
- 12nm process
- 21B Transistors
- die size: 815 mm<sup>2</sup>
- 300GB/s NVLink

Data Source: NVIDIA

# New in Volta: Tensor Core

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{0,0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} & A_{0,3} \\ A_{1,0} & A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} \\ A_{2,0} & A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} \\ A_{3,0} & A_{3,1} & A_{3,2} & A_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{0,0} & B_{0,1} & B_{0,2} & B_{0,3} \\ B_{1,0} & B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} & B_{1,3} \\ B_{2,0} & B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} & B_{2,3} \\ B_{3,0} & B_{3,1} & B_{3,2} & B_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} C_{0,0} & C_{0,1} & C_{0,2} & C_{0,3} \\ C_{1,0} & C_{1,1} & C_{1,2} & C_{1,3} \\ C_{2,0} & C_{2,1} & C_{2,2} & C_{2,3} \\ C_{3,0} & C_{3,1} & C_{3,2} & C_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}$$

FP16 or FP32                      FP16                      FP16                      FP16 or FP32

a new instruction that performs 4x4x4 FMA mixed-precision operations per clock  
 12X increase in throughput for the Volta V100 compared to the Pascal P100

<https://devblogs.nvidia.com/parallelforall/cuda-9-features-revealed/>



# Volta Chip Area

The GV100 SM is partitioned into four processing blocks, each with:

- 8 FP64 Cores
- 16 FP32 Cores
- 16 INT32 Cores
- two of the new mixed-precision Tensor Cores for deep learning
- a new L0 instruction cache
- one warp scheduler
- one dispatch unit
- a 64 KB Register File.

<https://devblogs.nvidia.com/parallelforall/cuda-9-features-revealed/>



# GPU evolution

Tesla Product	Tesla K40	Tesla M40	Tesla P100	Tesla V100
GPU	GK110 (Kepler)	GM200 (Maxwell)	GP100 (Pascal)	GV100 (Volta)
GPU Boost Clock	810/875 MHz	1114 MHz	1480 MHz	1455 MHz
Peak FP32 TFLOP/s*	5.04	6.8	10.6	15
Peak Tensor Core TFLOP/s*	-	-	-	120
Memory Interface	384-bit GDDR5	384-bit GDDR5	4096-bit HBM2	4096-bit HBM2
Memory Size	Up to 12 GB	Up to 24 GB	16 GB	16 GB
TDP	235 Watts	250 Watts	300 Watts	300 Watts
Transistors	7.1 billion	8 billion	15.3 billion	21.1 billion
GPU Die Size	551 mm <sup>2</sup>	601 mm <sup>2</sup>	610 mm <sup>2</sup>	815 mm <sup>2</sup>
Manufacturing Process	28 nm	28 nm	16 nm FinFET+	12 nm FFN


120 big jump in ML speed

fast memory, sits on top of the GPU chip

<https://devblogs.nvidia.com/parallelforall/cuda-9-features-revealed/>



# Pascal vs Volta




Tesla V100 Tensor Cores and CUDA 9 deliver up to 9x higher performance for GEMM operations.

<https://devblogs.nvidia.com/parallelforall/cuda-9-features-revealed/>


# Pascal vs Volta

## ResNet-50 Training



## ResNet-50 Inference

TensorRT - 7ms Latency




Left: Tesla V100 trains the ResNet-50 deep neural network 2.4x faster than Tesla P100.

Right: Given a target latency per image of 7ms, Tesla V100 is able to perform inference using the ResNet-50 deep neural network 3.7x faster than Tesla P100.

<https://devblogs.nvidia.com/paralleforall/cuda-9-features-revealed/>

# TensorFlow Processing Unit (TPU) 2015



TPU Card to replace a disk

Up to 4 cards / server

David Patterson and the Google TPU Team, In-Data Center Performance Analysis of a Tensor Processing Unit

credits:


cs231n.stanford.edu, Song Han

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)

# TPU Architecture

- The Matrix Unit: 65,536 (256x256) 8-bit multiply-accumulate units
- 700 MHz clock rate
- Peak: 92T operations/second
  - $65,536 * 2 * 700M$
- >25X as many MACs vs GPU
- >100X as many MACs vs CPU
- 4 MiB of on-chip Accumulator memory
- 24 MiB of on-chip Unified Buffer (activation memory)
- 3.5X as much on-chip memory vs GPU
- Two 2133MHz DDR3 DRAM channels
- 8 GiB of off-chip weight DRAM memory

## TPU: High-level Chip Architecture



David Patterson and the Google TPU Team, In-Data Center Performance Analysis of a Tensor Processing Unit


# GPU vs TPU

	K80 2012	TPU 2015	P40 2016
Inferences/Sec <10ms latency	1/13X	1X	2X
Training TOPS	6 FP32	NA	12 FP32
Inference TOPS	6 FP32	90 INT8	48 INT8
On-chip Memory	16 MB	24 MB	11 MB
Power	300W	75W	250W
Bandwidth	320 GB/S	34 GB/S	350 GB/S

<https://blogs.nvidia.com/blog/2017/04/10/ai-drives-rise-accelerated-computing-datacenter/>



# Google Cloud TPU (v2 2017)



- Cloud TPU delivers up to 180 teraflops to train and run machine learning models.

— Google Blog

credits:  
cs231n.stanford.edu, Song Han

# Google TPU pods



- A “TPU pod” built with 64 second-generation TPUs delivers up to 11.5 petaflops of machine learning acceleration.
- “One of our new large-scale translation models used to take a full day to train on 32 of the best commercially-available GPUs—now it trains to the same accuracy in **an afternoon** using just **one eighth** of a TPU pod.”

— Google Blog

credits:  
cs231n.stanford.edu, Song Han


# DEEP LEARNING PARALLEL TRAINING

credits:  
cs231n.stanford.edu, Song Han

[www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse](http://www.cwi.nl/~boncz/bigdatacourse)




# Data Parallel: run multiple inputs in parallel



- Doesn't affect latency for one input
- Requires P-fold larger batch size (i.e. limited scaling only)
- For training requires coordinated weight update


# The Need to Exchange Weight-deltas



Large Scale Distributed Deep Networks, Jeff Dean et al., 2013

# Fully connected layers

- Parallelize by partitioning the weight matrix




requires communicating the activations


$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Output activations} \\ \mathbf{b}_i \\ \mathbf{b}_i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{W}_{ij} \\ \mathbf{W}_{ij} \\ \text{weight matrix} \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a}_j \\ \text{Input activations} \end{array}$$

# Convolution layers: easier to parallelize

- by output region (needs some communication around convolution borders)



Kernels  
Multiple 3D  
 $K_{uvkj}$



6D Loop

For all output map  $j$

For each input map  $k$

For each pixel  $x, y$

For each kernel element  $u, v$

$$B_{xyj} += A_{(x-u)(y-v)k} \times K_{uvkj}$$

# Multi-GPU training

- Servers with up to 8 GPUs
- Direct GPU-GPU communication
  - “NVLink” (2x150GB/s on Volta)  
(compare to 2x10Gb/s≈2GB/s Ethernet networks..)



## P3

P3 instances are the latest generation of general purpose GPU instances.

### Features:


- Up to 8 NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPUs, each pairing 5,120 CUDA Cores and 640 Tensor Cores
- High frequency Intel Xeon E5-2686 v4 (Broadwell) processors
- Supports NVLink for peer-to-peer GPU communication
- Provide Enhanced Networking using Elastic Network Adapter with up to 25 Gbps of aggregate network bandwidth within a Placement Group

Model	GPUs	vCPU	Mem (GiB)	GPU Mem (GiB)	GPU P2P
p3.2xlarge	1	8	61	16	-
p3.8xlarge	4	32	244	64	NVLink
p3.16xlarge	8	64	488	128	NVLink

# Paralellism in Tensorflow


- Multi-GPU (1 machine) training with normal TensorFlow

Training: NVIDIA® Tesla® K80 synthetic data (1,2,4, and 8 GPUs)



- Distributed TensorFlow: results for 1-8 machines (8-64 GPUs)

Training: NVIDIA® Tesla® K80 synthetic data (1,8,16,32, and 64)




# Paralellism in Tensorflow

Options	InceptionV3	ResNet-50	ResNet-152	AlexNet	VGG16
Batch size per GPU	64	64	32	512	32
Optimizer	sgd	sgd	sgd	sgd	sgd

Model	variable_update	local_parameter_device
InceptionV3	parameter_server	cpu
ResNet-50	replicated (without NCCL)	gpu
ResNet-152	replicated (without NCCL)	gpu
AlexNet	parameter_server	gpu
VGG16	parameter_server	gpu

- **Distributed TensorFlow: results for 1-8 machines (8-64 GPUs)**

Training: NVIDIA® Tesla® K80 synthetic data (1,8,16,32, and 64)



- InceptionV3: 8 instances / 6 parameter servers
- ResNet-50: (batch size 32) 8 instances / 4 parameter servers
- ResNet-152: 8 instances / 4 parameter servers

# Recap Parallelism

- Lots of parallelism in DNNs
  - 16M independent multiplies in one FC layer
  - Limited by overhead to exploit a fraction of this
- Hyper-parameter search parallelism (not discussed so far)
  - Train multiple networks in parallel with different parameters
- Data parallel
  - Run multiple training examples in parallel
  - Limited by batch size
- Model parallel
  - Split model over multiple processors
  - By layer
  - Conv layers by map region
  - Fully connected layers by output activation



# Summary: Deep Learning

..on Big Data, ..in the Cloud

- popular frameworks: TensorFlow, pyTorch, Caffe2, MXNET
- algorithmic optimizations → making networks smaller
  - quantization, pruning, mixed-precision
- hardware for deep learning
  - CPUs (SIMD), GPUs, TPUs
- parallel training: does deep learning scale?
  - Trivially Distributed: Hyper-parameter search (e.g. tensorflow-on-spark)
  - Multi-GPUs in one machine (P2P GPU communications - NVLink)
  - Distributed TensorFlow?